

JTIP Handout: Lesson 10 – Challenging Probable Cause and Detention

BIBLIOGRAPHY

CASES

Probable Cause Determinations

Rothgery v. Gillespie County, 554 U.S. 191 (2008) (holding that a criminal defendant’s initial appearance before a judge marks the beginning of the proceedings against him and triggers the defendant’s Sixth Amendment right to counsel).

County of Riverside v. McLaughlin, 500 U.S. 44 (1991) (holding that 48 hours satisfies the “prompt” probable cause determination requirement held in *Gerstein* after an arrest without a warrant).

Gerstein v. Pugh, 420 U.S. 103 (1975) (holding that the Fourth Amendment requires a judicial determination of probable cause as a prerequisite before a restraint of liberty following arrest).

Right to Cross-Examine

Crawford v. Washington, 541 U.S. 36 (2004) (holding that the Sixth Amendment’s Confrontation Clause gives defendants the right to confront witnesses and cross-examine their testimony).

United States v. Owens, 484 U.S. 554 (1988) (holding that neither the Confrontation Clause nor FRE Rule 802 is violated by admission of a prior, out-of-court identification statement of a witness who is unable, because of memory loss, to explain the basis for the identification).

Lee v. Illinois, 476 U.S. 530 (1986) (holding that the trial court’s reliance upon the co-defendant’s confession as substantive evidence against the petitioner violated her rights under the Confrontation Clause of the Sixth Amendment).

Chambers v. Mississippi, 410 U.S. 284 (1973) (holding that the petitioner was denied a fair trial when he was not allowed, through cross-examination, to explore the circumstances of the witness’s prior oral confessions and renunciation of the written confession, thus depriving the petitioner of the right to contradict testimony that was clearly “adverse”).

Douglas v. Alabama, 380 U.S. 415, 418 (1965) (holding that the petitioner’s inability to cross-examine the alleged accomplice about a confession that the prosecutor read to the jury denied the petitioner the right to cross-examine under the Confrontation Clause, which is made applicable to the states by the Fourteenth Amendment).

In Re Oliver, 333 U.S. 257 (1948) (holding that the secrecy of the petitioner’s trial violated the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment because he was not given reasonable notice of the charge against him, the right to examine the witnesses against him, the right to testify in his own behalf and the right to be represented by counsel).

JTIP Handout: Lesson 10 – Challenging Probable Cause and Detention

BOOKS

LARRY S. POZNER & ROGER J. DODD, *CROSS-EXAMINATION SKILLS FOR LAW STUDENTS* (2009).

DETENTION ADVOCACY

National Juvenile Defender Center Detention Tools, Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (2008), *available at* www.njdc.info/pdf/njdc_tools/NJDC_Detention_Tools.pdf:

- TEN PRINCIPLES FOR PROVIDING EFFECTIVE DEFENSE ADVOCACY AT JUVENILE DETENTION HEARINGS
- NAT'L JUVENILE DEFENDER CTR., JUVENILE DEFENDER SELF-ASSESSMENT TOOL FOR BEST PRACTICES IN DETENTION ADVOCACY
- NAT'L JUVENILE DEFENDER CTR., JUVENILE DEFENSE MANAGER-SUPERVISOR TOOL FOR BEST PRACTICES IN DETENTION ADVOCACY

ELIZABETH CALVIN, NAT'L JUV. DEFENDER CTR., *LEGAL STRATEGIES TO REDUCE THE UNNECESSARY DETENTION OF CHILDREN* (2004), www.njdc.info/pdf/detention_guide.pdf.

BAIL

James Kirkham, *Practice Tips: "Keep your Friends Close and your Enemies Closer"* — *A Cursory Look at the Bail Industry*, WASH. CRIM. DEF. MAG., Aug. 2010.

RISK ASSESSMENTS

DAVID STEINHART, ANNIE E. CASEY FOUNDATION, *JUVENILE DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT: A PRACTICE GUIDE TO JUVENILE DETENTION REFORM* (2006), <http://www.aecf.org/upload/PublicationFiles/JJ3622H5038.pdf>.

JOHN D. & CATHERINE T. MACARTHUR FOUND., *MODELS FOR CHANGE: SYSTEMS REFORM IN JUVENILE JUSTICE, KNOWLEDGE BRIEF: CAN RISK ASSESSMENT IMPROVE JUVENILE JUSTICE PRACTICES?* (2011), *available at* <http://www.modelsforchange.net/publications/313>.

ROBERT BARNOSKI, *WASHINGTON STATE JUVENILE ASSESSMENT MANUAL VERSION 2.1* (2004), <http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/rptfiles/JCAmanual2-1.pdf>.

JENNIFER FRATELLO ET AL., VERA INST. OF JUSTICE, CTR. ON YOUTH JUSTICE, *JUVENILE DETENTION REFORM IN NEW YORK CITY: MEASURING RISK THROUGH RESEARCH* (April 2011), <http://www.vera.org/download?file=3226/RAI-report-v7.pdf>.

JDAI Help Desk, *Juvenile Detention Risk Assessment*, <http://www.jdaihelpdesk.org/objective/Pages/JuvenileDetentionRiskAssessment.aspx>.

JTIP Handout: Lesson 10 – Challenging Probable Cause and Detention

SHACKLING

Leah Rabinowitz, *Due Process Restrained: The Dual Dilemmas of Discriminate and Indiscriminate Shackling in Juvenile Proceedings*, 29 B.C. THIRD WORLD L.J. 401 (2009).

Brian D. Gallagher & John C. Lore III, *Shackling Children in Juvenile Court: The Growing Debate, Recent Trends and the Way to Protect Everyone's Interest*, 12 U.C. DAVIS J. JUV. L. & POL'Y 453 (2008).

Daniel Zeno, *Shackling Children During Court Appearances: Fairness and Security in Juvenile Courtrooms*, 12 J. GENDER, RACE & JUST. 257 (2008).

Carlos Martinez, *Why are Children in Florida Treated like Enemy Combatants*, 29 CORNERSTONE 10 (2007), <http://www.safetyandjustice.org/files/Cornerstone.pdf>.

Martha T. Moore, *Should Kids Go to Court in Chains?*, U.S.A. TODAY, June 17, 2007, http://www.usatoday.com/news/nation/2007-06-17-shackles_N.htm.

DISPROPORTIONATE MINORITY CONFINEMENT

Hayward Burns Institute, <http://www.burnsinstitute.org/article.php?id=59>.

The Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI), <http://www.aecf.org/majorinitiatives/juveniledetentionalternativesinitiative.aspx>.

HEIDI M. HASIA ET AL., U.S. DEP'T OF JUSTICE, OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS, OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION, DISPROPORTIONATE MINORITY CONFINEMENT: 2002 UPDATE (2004), <https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/ojdp/201240.pdf>.

IMMIGRATION ISSUES

Washington Defender Association Immigration Project, <http://www.defensenet.org/immigration-project>.

Kids In Need of Defense, Inc. (KIND), www.supportkind.org.

National Immigration Project of the National Lawyers Guild, www.nationalimmigrationproject.org.

National Immigration Law Center (NILC), www.nilc.org